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Please rate the following items for this session (highest rating = 5.0):

Christian Sinclair, MD, FAAHPM

- Quality of AV/Handouts: 4.3
- Content was well organized and appropriate for objectives: 4.8
- Materials presented met the stated objectives: 4.8
- Teaching effectiveness of the presenter: 4.8
- Overall evaluation of this offering: 4.8
- Achievement of personal objectives: 4.7
- Quality of Presentation: 4.8
- Value of Topic: 4.8
- Speaker Rank: 4.7

Deanna Davis, MBA

- Quality of AV/Handouts: 4.3
- Content was well organized and appropriate for objectives: 4.7
- Materials presented met the stated objectives: 4.7
- Teaching effectiveness of the presenter: 4.7
- Overall evaluation of this offering: 4.6
- Achievement of personal objectives: 4.6
- Quality of Presentation: 4.7
- Value of Topic: 4.7
- Speaker Rank: 4.6
What did you like most about this presentation?

- Thorough overview of what we have and where we could go!
- the speed dating. It made it interesting being involved and we was able to move around.
- I thought that the information was extremely practical. I felt like the course wasn't explaining palliative care but giving steps you could actually take to better your clinic and improve your quality of care. The billing and administrative side was probably the most practical component.
- The level of organization. The team leads were very engaging.
- The presenter was entertaining and easy to listen to with great examples.
- Tom with the demographics and the group discussion
- This entire session was completely relevant to the current state and future of Palliative Care in LA. The focus was on working together to across the healthcare spectrum to improve patient outcomes and access, including involvement from LDH. (I was very surprised, impressed, and grateful that LDH attended and provided input!) There was open sharing of ideas and everyone was very respectful of each other. Great session!
- information relevant and up to date
- The way it was set up - more of an open environment.
- Open discussion of the changes in the industry. Speakers in group sessions are really good.
- Information was useful
- As a program in its infancy, the resources presented and the networking available was extremely valuable.
- Liked the breakout work groups and the ability to participate further in the topic I am interested in.
- Information was "fresh". Enjoyed the breakout sessions.
- Speakers
- I liked the personal interaction and ability to network.
- An actual action plan was started.
- The ability to have a voice in attempts to move forward in our state though the break out sessions.
- The opportunity to work with others to forward the cause of palliative care services. The ideas gained from others were very valuable.
- Lots of great resources and info! It was good to see the stats for our state. It was good to network with others and to leave with some things to do/accomplish together.
Saturday, July 28, 2018

- Information
- The energy
- Great information and content
- Well organized day, location was good, seating was comfortable and spacious
- Learned information about Palliative Care that I was not aware of before presentation.
- Dr. Sinclair was engaging and knowledgeable. The presentation flier did not include that the audience would be part of the ground breaking think-tank for palliative care in the New Orleans area. I was pleased and felt honored! The snacks and food was great, and the atmosphere was very nice.
- Dr. Sinclair presentation was awesome. It would have been nice to have slides earlier to follow along, having presented I know speakers can adjust at last minute- even if we could have downloaded that am it would have been better to formulate specific questions as he had to move quickly due to volume of information

Deanna did a great job in articulating the goal of developing a road map aka 5 yr plan.

- The live interaction and networking
- I learned volumes about the work that is being done throughout Louisiana and about resources that are available.
- Well rounded topic/presentationNetworking opportunities
- Enjoyed the presenters. LA definitely needs a better definition of palliative care and increased resources
- Loved the breakout sessions - "Speed Dating"
- interesting info
- It was comprehensive, inclusive of all ideas, engaging, very practical as a starting point (not intending to change the world in one day,) right amount of time.
- the slides
- Extremely informative and interactive. Great to see the different disciplines involved.
- Very thorough, high level of participation/discussion by attendees that improved the learning experience
- interactive approach, well thought out, speaker/organizer very knowledgeable about LA landscape and challenges we face as a state, offering input in how to overcome such challenges, very well done--I feel that it was well worth my Saturday time and feel very inspired to continue working toward better access for our LA residents
- So well organized! The speakers were phenomenal and gave current, relevant information for all of us to take away to our respective
professional practices.
- Well informed, great summary of the current state of resources and helpful for future planning
- Dr. Sinclair's presentation.
- thought provoking
- Both presenters were engaging and informative.
- I appreciated Dr. Sinclair's presentation based on his extensive experience.
- the break out sessions
- Statewide interest
- direct, compact, very clear objectives
- very good information, presented in a great manner.
- Group participation! This was a wonderful conference - and I think the interaction of the participants made it informative and enjoyable.
- Data and the description of palliative care
- Relevant information
- the group talks
- breakout sessions
- it was very interactive
- net working... establishing the needs- setting a plan
- very comprehensive
- organized and interesting. Both presenters did a great job setting the stage for future statewide work in Palliative Care
- Collaboration to achieve goals
- Materials and topics varied but were well integrated and very helpful
- AV presentations were blurry
- Sinclair was inspiring and really helped me visualize how far the state of LA has to go, but it made me hopeful that we can get there.
- The speakers

What did you like least about this presentation?

- Room too cold at times.
- The powerpoint was difficult to see, it needs to be larger in the future.
- I wish I had the opportunity to have the presentation ahead of time. I could not see anything on the screen
- There were no CEU credits for Certified Case Manager. The temperature was too cold.
• I did not like the location. Would prefer a location where parking is free.
• No handouts of powerpoints to take notes. The doctors discussion was geared to other doctors, not to other practices.
• I loved the entire session!
• Would be easier for me if had handout of some of the information to take notes regarding topics during presentation
• The acoustics in the room were a little on the poor side. I have fluid in one of my ears (currently on abx) so I thought it was just me. I talked to others and they agreed with this point.
• Could not hear in the group sessions.
• There was not handouts and could not read the slides.
• Temp of the room was too cool
• Moving around
• Would have preferred to have the handouts to list notes on the actual presentation.
• The room was a little too cool. The round table sessions were a little long- couldn't think by the time we started the last one.
• Early start to the day, I heard a lot of grumbling about no breakfast.
• Couldn't hear well when we broke into groups. Also, many of the slides during the presentation in the morning were too small. Couldn't see well. However, I understand that slides will be made available or we can go online and see them. That is beneficial.
• It was difficult to see the presentation from the back of the room.
• Room
• A bit long
• It would have been nice to at least have a summary of content presented because the slides were hard to read
• No handouts
• The room was too cold.
• The execution of Deanna's speed dating fell a little flat- physical location was not conducive to effective conversations. The ability to see the 'draft' road map was not good. Had it not been reviewed in slide presentation I believe most people would have been lost- I know I would have. The wrap up at end was a bust- in my group we spend 30 of the 45 minutes debating the definition of palliative care and facilitators (x2) never seemed to get to project actions over the 5 yr time span.
• Cold room
• nothing
• Group work was interesting, but room was too small and couldn't hear group leaders well all the time
• too cold
• Slides difficult or impossible to read from back of room, round tables in main room took up too much space. Rectangular tables would have allowed closer proximity of all to front. Conflation of palliative care services and hospice services is perpetuated by us! Sharper delineation of the two will aid in making palliative care more palatable, more available upstream. There was no real focus on who in the state was practicing palliative care distinct from hospice care.
• Would have appreciated having handouts in advance so could follow. My eyesight is too poor to see the tiny print on the screen. (But have the handouts now. Thanks so much)
• there was a lot of moving from table to table, having to bring all personal belongings with each move
• Difficult to hear moderators in breakout groups, was hoping to leave with established committees/duties and to have better idea of the "next step"
• Can't think of anything. I really enjoyed it.
• The speed dating aspect. Many are not comfortable with this type of activity. Possible an alternative activity in the future.
• couldn't read the slides. lack of agenda prior to event
• It did not address current resources in Louisiana
• n/a
• conference room was a bit noisy during breakout sessions, and it was sometimes difficult to hear and stay focused.
• During the last portion when we broke into the last groups, the tables were too close together and so it was too noisy and made focusing on our leader difficult.
• too many statistics
• room was too noisy from breakout sessions, very difficulty to understand speaker during breakouts
• Saturday time slot...no handout for itinerary for the day...
• not applicable
• nothing I didn't like
• Needed more pediatrics
• Power point slides were used for morning presentations but was unable to see the information.
• In the 'speed dating' it was sometimes difficult to hear the discussions. Same thing for the summary of the breakout groups
• No handouts given prior to presentation and unable to access via web address
• It was too fast and not time for concurrent questions
• Too cold
Did you feel the content was:

Just Right: 92 (96.8%)
Too Basic: 2 (2.1%)
Too Advanced: 1 (1.1%)

Was the information/material presented at this presentation free from commercial bias?

Yes: 93 (97.9%) 93
No: 2 (2.1%) 2

Please explain:

- The majority was, but Dr. Sinclair did represent that he was working with Aspire.
- Dr. Sinclair mentioned multiple times that he is associated with Aspire Health and talked about services they provide here in our state.
**Additional Comments:**

- I look forward to additional sessions & webinars to continue what we started.
- looking forward to follow up activity
- I did learn more than I previously know about Palliative Care.
- If we had been given the slide information via email prior to the event, we could have printed them ourselves.
- Great job!
- I'm in Mississippi and wishing we were as far along as you are in LA!
- I learned a great deal about palliative care across the nation, and understood the barriers about palliative care locally and in the state of LA.
- Great first stab and trying to organize Palliative Care as a distinct service apart from Hospice umbrella. I would recommend organizational leaders need to remain focus on the 'service' and steer clear of any licensing of a 'new' organization in hopes of collecting new membership fees.
- Hope we can meet again
- I think when experienced palliative professionals are trying to teach others and help others to develop their programs, they need to be careful about saying that "palliative care is not hospice care and not 'just' for end of life. We need to be careful not to continue to perpetuate these prejudices. End of life care is complex and a vital part of medicine. Palliative care is a vital part of patient care and will eventually lead to end of life. Just saying that I hear nurses and physicians using these terms so that patients won't refuse palliative care. We need to continue to work against the negative image of hospice while being truthful to patients and their families. I am very proud to say that I was a hospice nurse for 10 years and helped to relieve suffering, and we should refrain from using language that may unintentionally shed a negative light on end of life care. Nevertheless, I appreciate your efforts for educating the medical community about palliative care.
- na
- I don't understand the question below. Are these objectives I'd like to see for the next conference? Am I rating this past one? Not sure why I'm only picking one. The third one was how I saw today's purpose at the end of the day, but I had anticipated the second one was why we were meeting. However, IT'S ALL GOOD.
- none
Looking forward to next year's Summit.
I felt too much time was spent rotating in small groups during the speed dating during the summit. The same topics were repeated over and over and often times becoming off topic. My hope for going to the summit was to find out what is currently going on in palliative medicine in the state of Louisiana and to learn of available resources. The presenters did not really seem knowledgeable of Louisiana palliative medicine. My hope is that resources will be generated based on the ideas offered. To be honest, I am not certain I would attend another summit if the structure were to be the same as this one.
Woud love to have this type of conference again - maybe a 6 month update? certainly yearly would be very beneficial. THANK YOU ALL FOR PROVIDING THIS EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE WITH PROVIDERS ACROSS OUR STATE. WELL DONE !!!!
breakfast should have also been included
thank you for coordinating - much needed.
It was a very long day after a long week. Needs to be 2-3 days by itself. The first day of the conference the Tuesday should be combined with the summit.
N/a
Thank you

Please review the following objectives and indicate your choice.

At the end of this activity the learner will be able to (highest rating = 2.0):

- Define palliative care resources in the state 1.9
- Assess palliative care resources in the state 1.9
- Begin development of state wide palliative care strategic plan 2.0